

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,638	05/09/2006	Hiroki Kaihori	MAT-8849US	3451
52473 RATNERPRE	7590 07/12/201 STIA	0	EXAM	UNER
P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/578,638	KAIHORI, HIROKI	
	Art Unit	
EMMANUEL L. MOISE	2437	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Rec	quest for Review filed June 2, 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is reason(s): 	s improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include reason	n filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ons why a review is appropriate. d with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tion, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appea is required to submit an appeal brief in acc brief will be reset to be one month from ma running from the receipt of the notice of ap	Is and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee al because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applica ordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appea aliling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period peal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of t 136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt dat
☐ The panel has determined the sta Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideratio	.,
	ce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of he merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is r	e has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office equired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>EMMANUEL L. MOISE</u> .	(3) <u>MATTHEW SMITHERS</u> .
(2) <u>JEFFERY WILLIAMS</u> .	(4)
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	